arXiv:1602.02395v2 [math.CV] 25 Sep 2016

ON ESTIMATES OF MODULI OF FAMILIES OF SURFACES

E. SEVOST'YANOV

September 27, 2016

Abstract

A class of space mappings of finite distortion with N and N^{-1} Luzin properties with respect to k-measured area is investigated. It is proved that, mappings mentioned above satisfy upper and lower inequalities for families of k-measures surfaces.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 30C65; Secondary 30C62, 31A15

1 Introduction

As known, a class of maps with finite area distortion consists of mappings, which distort distance between points in a finite number of time and satisfy N and N^{-1}-Luzin properties with respect to k-dimensional area (see [1, Ch. 10]). For such mappings, some modular inequalities with respect to families of surfaces are established in [1]. At the present paper we strengthen above results by considering more wide classes of surfaces, for which such inequalities still hold. The order of modulus p is assumed to satisfy the inequality $p \geqslant 1$. Give some definitions.

Everywhere below, D is a domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, m is the Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $m(A)$ the Lebesgue measure of a measurable set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, m_1 is the linear Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}$. Recall that a mapping $f : X \to Y$ between measurable spaces (X, Σ, μ) and (X', Σ', μ') is said to have the *N-property* if $\mu'(f(S)) = 0$ whenever $\mu(S) = 0$. Similarly, f has the *N^{-1}-property* if $\mu(S) = 0$ whenever $\mu'(f(S)) = 0$.

For $x \in E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and a mapping $\varphi : E \to \mathbb{R}^n$, we set

$$L(x, \varphi) = \limsup_{y \to x, y \in E} \frac{|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)|}{|y - x|}, \quad l(x, \varphi) = \liminf_{y \to x, y \in E} \frac{|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)|}{|y - x|}.$$

Let D be a domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Following to [1, разд. 8.3], a mapping $f : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is called a mappings with *finite metric distortion,* write $f \in FMD$, if f has the Luzin N-property and

$$0 < l(x, f) \leqslant L(x, f) < \infty$$

for almost all $x \in D$.

Let ω be an open set in $\overline{\mathbb{R}^k} := \mathbb{R}^k \cup \{\infty\}$, $k = 1, \ldots, n-1$. A (continuous) mapping $S : \omega \to D$ is called a $k-$dimensional surface S in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Sometimes we call the image $S(\omega) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ by the surface S, too. The number of preimages $N(y, S) = \operatorname{card} S^{-1}(y) = \operatorname{card} \{x \in \omega : S(x) = y\}$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be a *multiplicity function* of the surface S at a point $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. In other words, $N(S, y)$ means the multiplicity of covering of the point y by the surface S.

For a given Borel set $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ (or, more generally, for a measurable set B with respect to the k-dimensional Hausdorff measure $\mathcal{H}^k$), the k-dimensional Hausdorff area of B in $\mathbb{R}^n$ associated with the surface S is determined by

$$\mathcal{A}_S(B) = \mathcal{A}_S(B) = \int\limits_B N(S, y)\, d\mathcal{H}^k y\,.$$

If $\rho : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, \infty]$ is a Borel function, the integral of ρ over S is defined as

$$\int\limits_S \rho\, d\mathcal{A} := \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(y)\, N(y, S)\, d\mathcal{H}^k y\,.$$

Let Γ be a family of k-dimensional surfaces S in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ (curves for $k = 1$). Given $p \geqslant 1$, the p-module of Γ is defined by

$$M_p(\Gamma) = \inf_{\rho \in \operatorname{adm}\Gamma} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho^p(x)\, dm(x)\,,$$

where the infimum is taken over all Borel measurable functions $\rho \geqslant 0$ and such that

$$\int\limits_S \rho^k\, d\mathcal{A} \geqslant 1 \tag{1.1}$$

for every $S \in \Gamma$. We call each such ρ an *admissible function* for Γ ($\rho \in \operatorname{adm}\Gamma$). The n-module $M_n(\Gamma)$ will be denoted by $M(\Gamma)$. The modulus is itself an outer measure on the collection of all families Γ of k-dimensional surfaces (see [3]).

Following [1], a metric ρ is said to be *extensively admissible* for Γ ($\rho \in \operatorname{ext}_p\operatorname{adm}\Gamma$) with respect to p-module if $\rho \in \operatorname{adm}(\Gamma \backslash \Gamma_0)$ such that $M_p(\Gamma_0) = 0$ (cf. [4]). Accordingly, we say that a property $\mathcal{P}$ holds for almost every k-dimensional surface with respect to p-modulus, write p-a.e. surface, if $\mathcal{P}$ holds for all surfaces except a family of zero p-module.

A surface S in D is a *lifting* of a surface $\widetilde{S}$ under $f : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$, if $\widetilde{S} = f(S)$.

Following [1, section 10.1], we say that a mapping $f : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ has (A_k)-*property* with respect to p-modulus if the two conditions hold:

$(A_k^{(1,p)})$ for p-a.e. k-dimensional surface S in D the restriction $f|_S$ has N-property with respect to area;

$(A_k^{(2,p)})$ for p-a.e. k-dimensional surface S_* in $\widetilde{D} = f(D)$ the restriction $f|_S$ has N^{-1}-property for each lifting S of S_* with respect to area.

We also say that a mapping $f : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is of *finite area distortion in dimension* $k = 1, ..., n-1$ with respect to p-modulus, abbr. $f \in FAD_k$ with respect to p-modulus, if $f \in FMD$ and has the (A_k)-property with respect to p-modulus.

Let D be a domain in $\mathbb{R}^k$, $k = 1, ..., n-1$. If $S_1 : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a surface and if S_2 is a restriction of S_1 to a subdomain $D_* \subset D$, we write $S_2 \subset S_1$. We say that Γ_2 is *minorized* by Γ_1 and write $\Gamma_2 > \Gamma_1$ if every $S \subset \Gamma_2$ has a subsurface which belongs to Γ_1. It is known that $M_p(\Gamma_1) \geqslant M_p(\Gamma_2)$, see [3, Theorem 1(c)].

Set at points $x \in D$ of differentiability of f

$$l\left(f'(x)\right) = \min_{h \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|f'(x)h|}{|h|}, \|f'(x)\| = \max_{h \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|f'(x)h|}{|h|}, J(x,f) = det f'(x),$$

and define for any $x \in D$ and fixed $p \geqslant 1$

$$K_{I,p}(x,f) = \begin{cases} \frac{|J(x,f)|}{l(f'(x))^p}, & J(x,f) \neq 0, \\ 1, & f'(x) = 0, \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \tag{1.2}$$

$$K_{O,p}(x,f) = \begin{cases} \frac{\|f'(x)\|^p}{|J(x,f)|}, & J(x,f) \neq 0, \\ 1, & f'(x) = 0, \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

A mapping $f : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is *discrete* if $f^{-1}(y)$ consists of isolated points for each $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and f is *open* if it maps open sets onto open sets. The notation $f : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ assumes that f is continuous. In what follows, a mapping f is supposed to be orientation preserving, i.e., the topological index $\mu(y, f, G) > 0$ for an arbitrary domain $G \subset D$ such that $\overline{G} \subset D$ and $y \in f(G) \setminus f(\partial G)$. Let $f : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a mapping and suppose that there is a domain $G \subset D$, $\overline{G} \subset D$, for which $f^{-1}(f(x)) = \{x\}$. Then the quantity $\mu(f(x), f, G)$, which is referred to as the local topological index, does not depend on the choice of the domain G and is denoted by $i(x, f)$.

The following proposition can be found in [1, Lemma 8.3].

Lemma 1.1. *Let $f : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be differentiable a.e. in D and have N– and N^{-1}–properties. Then there is a countable collection of compact sets $C_k^* \subset D$ such that $m(B_0) = 0$ where $B = D \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} C_k^*$ and $f|_{C_k^*}$ is one–to–one and bi–lipschitz for every $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, and, moreover, f is differentiable at points of C_k^* with $J(x, f) \neq 0$.*

2 The analog of the Väisälä inequality

The following result generalizes the well–known Väisälä inequality for the mappings with bounded distortion, see [5, Theorem 3.1] and [6, Theorem 9.1, гл. II]. For mappings with

finite distortion see e.g. [1, Theorems 8.6 and Lemma 10.2], [7, Theorem 4.1], [8, Theorem 7] and [9, Theorem 3.1].

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open discrete mapping of finite metric distortion with the $(A_k^{(2,p)})$-property for some $p \geqslant k$, $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1$. Let Γ be a family of k-measured surfaces α in D, Γ' be a family of k-measured surfaces $\beta : \omega \to f(D)$, and m be a positive integer such that the following is true. For every curve $\beta \in \Gamma'$ there are surfaces $\alpha_1 : \omega_1 \to D$, $\ldots$, $\alpha_m : \omega_m \to D$ in Γ such that $f \circ \alpha_j \subset \beta$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, m$, and for every $x \in D$ and all $z \in \omega_j$ the equality $\alpha_j(z) = x$ holds at most $i(x, f)$ indices j. Then*

$$M_p(\Gamma') \quad \leqslant \quad \frac{1}{m} \quad \int\limits_D K_{I,p}\,(x,\, f) \cdot \rho^p(x) \;\; dm(x) \qquad \forall\; \rho \in \operatorname{adm}\Gamma\,.$$

Here we write $f \circ \alpha \subset \beta$ iff $\beta : \omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$, ω is open set in $\mathbb{R}^k$, and $\beta|_{\omega_1} = f \circ \alpha$ for some open set $\omega_1 \subset \omega$.

Proof. Let B_0 and C_ν^* be as in Lemma 1.1 and B_f is a branch set for f in D. Note that $m(B_f) = 0$, see [1, Proposition 8.4]. Setting by induction $B_0 = B \cup B_f$, $B_1 = C_1^* \setminus B_f$, $B_2 = C_2^* \setminus (B_1 \cup B_f) \ldots$,

$$B_\nu \;=\; C_\nu^* \setminus \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\nu-1} B_i \cup B_f \right) ,$$

we obtain a countable covering of D consisting of mutually disjoint Borel sets B_ν, $\nu = 1, 2, \ldots$, with $m(B_0) = 0$. Since f has N-property, $m(f(B_0)) = 0$. By [1, Theorem 9.1], $\mathcal{A}_{S_*}(f(B_0)) = 0$ for p-a.e. surface S_* in $f(D)$ and all S for which $f(S) = S_*$. Thus, by $(A_k^{(2,p)})$-property

$$\mathcal{A}_S(B_0) = 0 \tag{2.1}$$

for p-a.e. surface S_* in $f(D)$ and all S with $f(S) = S_*$. We show that (2.1) holds for p-a.e. $S_* \in \Gamma'$ and every $S \in \Gamma$ such that $f \circ S \subset S_*$.

Denote Γ_1 a family of surface $S_* \in \Gamma'$ for which

$$\mathcal{A}_S(B_0) > 0 \tag{2.2}$$

and some surface S with $f \circ S \subset S_*$. Assume in the contrary, that $M_p(\Gamma_1) > 0$. Let Γ_2 be a family of all subsurfaces S_{**} of Γ_1, having a lifting S, for which (2.2) holds. Since $\Gamma_2 < \Gamma_1$, we obtain that $M_p(\Gamma_2) \geqslant M_p(\Gamma_1) > 0$. We reach a contradiction to our assumption that $M_p(\Gamma_1) > 0$.

Let $\rho \in \operatorname{adm}\Gamma$. Set

$$\rho^*(x) \;=\; \begin{cases} \rho(x)/l\,(f'(x))\,, & x \in D \setminus B_0, \\ 0, & x \in B_0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\widetilde{\rho}(y) \quad = \quad \left(\frac{1}{m} \cdot \chi_{f(D \setminus B_0)}(y) \sup_C \sum_{x \in C} \rho^{*k}(x) \right)^{1/k} ,$$

and C runs over all subsets of $f^{-1}(y)$ in $D \setminus B_0$ such that $\operatorname{card} C \leqslant m$. Note that

$$\widetilde{\rho}(y) \quad = \quad \left(\frac{1}{m}\cdot \sup \sum_{i=1}^{s} \rho_{\nu_i}^k(y)\right)^{1/k}\,, \tag{2.3}$$

where sup in (2.3) is taken over all $\{\nu_{i_1}, \ldots, \nu_{i_s}\}$ such that $\nu_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\nu_i \neq \nu_j$ if $i \neq j$, all $s \leq m$ and

$$\rho_\nu(y) = \begin{cases} \rho^*\left(f_\nu^{-1}(y)\right), & y \in f(B_\nu), \\ 0, & y \notin f(B_\nu) \end{cases}$$

where $f_\nu = f|_{B_\nu}$, $\nu = 1, 2, \ldots$ is injective. Thus, the function $\widetilde{\rho}(y)$ is Borel, see e.g. [10, 2.3.2].

Denote

$$A_{\nu l} := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \exists\, w \in \omega_l : \alpha_l(w) \in B_\nu, f(\alpha_l(w)) = y\}\,.$$

Set

$$\varphi_{\nu l}(y) := f_\nu^{-1}(y), \qquad y \in A_{\nu l}\,.$$

Since $f|_{B_\nu}$ is a homeomorphism, $\varphi_{\nu l}$ are well-defined. Moreover, since $\alpha_j(z) = x$ holds for at most $i(x, f)$ indices j, there are at most m points $\varphi_{\nu_1 1}(y), \ldots, \varphi_{\nu_s s}(y)$, $1 \leqslant s \leqslant m$, which are different, i.e., $\nu_i \neq \nu_j$, $i \neq j$. Setting $\rho^*(\varphi_{\nu l}(y)) = 0$ for $y \notin A_{\nu l}$, we observe that $\rho^* \circ \varphi_{\nu l} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is a Borel function. Now, we obtain from (2.3) that

$$\widetilde{\rho}^k(y) \geqslant \frac{1}{m}\sum_{l=1}^{s} \rho^{*k}(\varphi_{\nu_l l}(y)) = \frac{1}{m}\sum_{l=1}^{m}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \rho^{*k}(\varphi_{\nu l}(y))\,.$$

Now

$$\int\limits_\beta \widetilde{\rho}^k\, d\mathcal{A}_* = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widetilde{\rho}^k\, N(\beta, y) d\mathcal{H}^k y \quad \geqslant \frac{1}{m}\cdot \sum_{l=1}^{m}\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho^{*k}(\varphi_{\nu l}(y)) N(\beta, y)\, d\mathcal{H}^k y =$$

$$= \frac{1}{m}\cdot \sum_{l=1}^{m}\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \int\limits_{A_{\nu l}} \rho^{*k}(f_\nu^{-1}(y)) N(\beta, y)\, d\mathcal{H}^k y\,. \tag{2.4}$$

Setting $B_{\nu l} = \{x \in B_\nu : f(x) \in A_{\nu l}\}$, by [10, Theorem 3.2.5] for $m = k$ we obtain that

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \int\limits_{A_{\nu l}} \rho^{*k}(f_\nu^{-1}(y)) N(\beta, y)\, d\mathcal{H}^k y = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \int\limits_{B_{\nu l}} \rho^{*k}(x) N(\beta, f(x))\, J_k f(x) d\mathcal{H}^k x \geqslant$$

$$\geqslant \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \int\limits_{B_{\nu l}} \frac{\rho^k(x)}{l^k\left(f'(x)\right)} N(\alpha_l, x) J_k f(x)\, d\mathcal{H}^k x \geqslant \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \int\limits_{B_{\nu l}} \rho^k(x) N(\alpha_l, x) d\mathcal{H}^k x =$$

$$= \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho^k(x) N(\alpha_l, x) \chi_{B_{\nu l}}(x) d\mathcal{H}^k x = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho^k(x) N(\alpha_l, x) \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \chi_{B_{\nu l}}(x) d\mathcal{H}^k x = \tag{2.5}$$

$$= \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho^k(x) N(\alpha_l, x) d\mathcal{H}^k x \geqslant 1\,.$$

It follows from (2.4) and (2.5) that $\widetilde{\rho} \in \ \mathrm{adm}\,\Gamma' \setminus \Gamma_0$, where $M_p(\Gamma_0) = 0$. By subadditivity of p-modulus

$$M_p\left(\Gamma'\right) \quad \leqslant \quad \int\limits_{f(D)} \widetilde{\rho}^{\,p}(y)\ dm(y)\,. \tag{2.6}$$

By [10, Theorem 3.2.5] for $m = n$ we obtain that

$$\int\limits_{B_\nu} K_{I,p}(x,\ f)\cdot \rho^p(x)\ dm(x) \quad = \quad \int\limits_{f(D)} \rho^p_\nu(y)\, dm(y)\,. \tag{2.7}$$

By Hölder inequality for series,

$$\left(\frac{1}{m}\cdot\sum_{i=1}^{s}\rho^k_{\nu_i}(y)\right)^{p/k} \quad \leqslant \quad \frac{1}{m}\cdot\sum_{i=1}^{s}\rho^p_{\nu_i}(y) \tag{2.8}$$

for each $1 \leqslant s \leqslant m$ and every $\{\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_s\}$, $\nu_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\nu_i \neq \nu_j$, if $i \neq j$.

Finally, by Lebesgue positive convergence theorem, see Theorem I.12.3 in [11], we conclude from (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8) that

$$\frac{1}{m}\cdot\int\limits_{D} K_{I,p}(x,\ f)\cdot \rho^p(x)\ dm(x) \quad = \quad \frac{1}{m}\cdot\int\limits_{f(D)}\ \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty}\rho^p_\nu(y)\, dm(y) \quad \geqslant$$

$$\geqslant \quad \frac{1}{m}\cdot\int\limits_{f(D)}\ \sup_{\substack{\{\nu_1,\ldots,\nu_s\},\,\nu_i\in\mathbb{N},\\ \nu_i\neq\nu_j,\ i\neq j}}\ \sum_{i=1}^{s}\rho^p_{\nu_i}(y)\, dm(y) \quad = \quad \int\limits_{f(D)}\widetilde{\rho}^{\,p}(y)\, dm(y) \quad \geqslant \quad M_p(\Gamma')\,.$$

The proof is complete. □

3 On another modular inequality

The following statement have been proved for $p = n$ in [1, Lemma 10.1].

Theorem 3.1. Let a mapping $f : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be of finite metric distortion with $(A_k^{(1,p)})$-property for some $p \geqslant k$, $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1$, and let a set $E \subset \Omega$ be Borel. Then

$$M_p(\Gamma) \leqslant \int\limits_{f(E)} K_{I,p}(y, f^{\,-1}, E)\cdot \rho^p_*(y) dm(y)\,, \tag{3.1}$$

for every family Γ of k-dimensional surfaces S in E and $\varrho_* \in \mathrm{adm}\, f(\Gamma)$ where

$$K_{I,p}(y, f^{\,-1}, E) \ = \sum_{x\in E\cap f^{\,-1}(y)} K_{O,p}(x, f)\,. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. By Theorem III.6.6 (iV) [11], $E = B \cup B_0$, where B is a set of the class F_σ, and $m(B_0) = 0$. Consequently, $f(E)$ is measurable by N-property of f. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $f(E)$ is a Borel set and that $\rho_* \equiv 0$ outside of $f(E)$. In other case we can find a Borel set G such that $f(E) \subset G$ and $m(G \setminus f(E)) = 0$, see (ii) of the Theorem III.6.6 in [11]. Now, a set $f^{-1}(G)$ is Borel and $E \subset f^{-1}(G)$. Note that in this case the function

$$\rho_*^G(y) = \begin{cases} \rho_*(y), & \text{for } y \in G, \\ 0, & y \in \overline{\mathbb{R}^n} \setminus G \end{cases}$$

is a Borel function, as well. Now suppose that $f(E)$ is a Borel set. Let B_0 and C_k^*, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, be as in Lemma 1.1. Setting by induction $B_1 = C_1^*$, $B_2 = C_2^* \setminus B_1, \ldots$, and

$$B_k = C_k^* \setminus \bigcup_{\nu=1}^{k-1} B_\nu \,, \tag{3.3}$$

we obtain a countable covering of D consisting of mutually disjoint Borel sets $B_k, k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ with $m(B_0) = 0$, $B_0 = D \setminus \bigcup\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k$.

Note that by 2) in Remark 9.1 in [1] $\mathcal{A}_S(B_0) = 0$ for p-a.e. k-dimensional surface S in Ω and by $(A_k^{(1,p)})$-property $\mathcal{A}_{S_*}(f(B_0)) = 0$, where $S_* = f \circ S$ also for a.e. k-dimensional surface S.

Given $\rho_* \in \operatorname{adm} f(\Gamma)$, set

$$\rho(x) \;=\; \begin{cases} \rho_*(f(x))\|f'(x)\| \,, & \text{for } \; x \in D \setminus B_0, \\ 0 \,, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

Arguing piecewise on B_l, we have by 3.2.20 and 1.7.6 in [10] and Theorem 9.1 in [1], see also Remark 9.2 in [1], that

$$\int\limits_S \rho^k \, d\mathcal{A} = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho^k(x) N(S,x) d\mathcal{H}^k x = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \int\limits_{B_\nu} \rho^k(x) N(S,x) d\mathcal{H}^k x =$$

$$= \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \int\limits_{B_\nu} \rho_*(f(x))\|f'(x)\| N(S,x) d\mathcal{H}^k x =$$

$$= \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \int\limits_{B_\nu} \frac{\rho_*(f(x))\|f'(x)\|}{J_k f(x)} \cdot J_k f(x) N(f(S), f(x)) d\mathcal{H}^k x \geqslant$$

$$\geqslant \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \int\limits_{B_\nu} \rho_*(f(x)) \cdot J_k f(x) N(f(S), f(x)) d\mathcal{H}^k x = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \int\limits_{B_\nu} \rho_*(y) \cdot N(f(S), y) d\mathcal{H}^k y =$$

$$= \int\limits_{f(D)} \rho_*(y) \cdot N(f(S), y) d\mathcal{H}^k y = \int\limits_{S_*} \rho_*^k \, d\mathcal{A} \geqslant 1$$

for a.e. $S \in \Gamma$.

Note that $\rho = \sum\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho_k$, where $\rho_k = \rho \cdot \chi_{B_k}$ have mutually disjoint supports. By 3.2.5 for $m = n$ in [10] we obtain that

$$\int\limits_{f(B_k \cap E)} K_{O,p}\left(f_k^{-1}(y), f\right) \cdot \rho_*^p(y)\, dm(y) = \int\limits_{B_k} K_{O,p}(x, f) \rho_*^p\left(f(x)\right) |J(x, f)| dm(x) =$$

$$= \int\limits_{B_k} \|f'(x)\|^p \rho_*^p\left(f(x)\right) dm(x) = \int\limits_{D} \rho_k^p(x) dm(x)\,, \tag{3.5}$$

where every $f_k = f|_{B_k}$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ is injective by the construction.

Finally, by the Lebesgue positive convergence theorem, see e.g. Theorem I.12.3 in [11], we conclude from (3.5) that

$$\int\limits_{f(E)} K_{I,p}(y, f^{-1}, E) \cdot \rho_*^p(y) dm(y) = \int\limits_{D} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho_k^p(x) dm(x) \geqslant M_p(\Gamma)\,. \Box$$

References

[1] *Martio O., Ryazanov V., Srebro U. and Yakubov E.* Moduli in Modern Mapping Theory. – New York: Springer Science + Business Media, LLC, 2009.

[2] *Kovtonyuk D., Ryazanov V.* On the theory of mappings with finite area distortion // J. d' Anal. Math. – 2008. – V. **104**. – P. 291–306.

[3] *Fuglede B.* Extremal length and functional completion // Acta Math. – 1957. – **98**. – P. 171–219.

[4] *Golberg A.* Homeomorphisms with integrally restricted moduli // Contemp. Math. – 2011. – **553**. – P. 83–98.

[5] *Väisälä J.* Modulus and capacity inequalities for quasiregular mappings // Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A 1 Math. – 1972. – V. **509**. – P. 1–14.

[6] *Rickman S.* Quasiregular mappings. Results in Mathematic and Related Areas (3), 26. – Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1993.

[7] *Koskela P. and Onninen J.* Mappings of finite distortion: Capacity and modulus inequalities // J. Reine Angew. Math. – 2006. – V. **599**. – P. 1–26.

[8] *Holopainen I., Pankka P.* Mappings of finite distortion: global homeomorphism theorem // Ann. Ac. Sc. Fen. Math. – 2004. – V. **29**. – P. 59–80.

[9] *Salimov R.R. and Sevost'yanov E.A.* The Poletskii and Väisälä inequalities for the mappings with (p, q)-distortion // Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations. – 2014. – V. **59**, no. 2. – P. 217–231.

[10] *Federer H. Geometric Measure Theory.* – Springer: Berlin etc., 1969.

[11] *Saks S. Theory of the Integral.* – New York: Dover Publ. Inc., 1964.

Evgeny Sevost'yanov
Zhitomir Ivan Franko State University,
40 Bol'shaya Berdichevskaya Str., 10 008 Zhitomir, UKRAINE
Phone: +38 – (066) – 959 50 34,
Email: esevostyanov2009@mail.ru